AGRICULTURE AND FOOD DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

TITLE: In situ N₂O emissions are not mitigated by hippuric and benzoic acids under denitrifying conditions

AUTHORS: Krol, D.J., Forrester, P.J., Lanigan, G.J., Richards, K.G.

This article is provided by the author(s) and Teagasc T-Stór in accordance with publisher policies.

Please cite the published version.

The correct citation is available in the T-Stór record for this article.

NOTICE: This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Science of The Total Environment*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Science of The Total Environment*, vol 511, 1 April 2015. DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.074

This item is made available to you under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non commercial-No Derivatives 3.0 License.

In situ N₂O emissions are not mitigated by hippuric and benzoic acids under denitrifying conditions

Krol, D.J.^{1*}, Forrester, P.J.¹, Lanigan, G.J.¹, Richards, K.G.¹

1) Teagasc, Johnstown Castle Environmental Research Centre, Wexford, Ireland

*Corresponding author: dominika.krol@teagasc.ie, tel: +353 53 9171301

Abstract

Ruminant urine patches deposited onto pasture are a significant source of greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N₂O) from livestock agriculture. Increasing food demand is predicted to lead to a rise in ruminant numbers globally, which, in turn will result in elevated levels of urine-derived N₂O. Therefore mitigation strategies are urgently needed. Urine contains hippuric acid and together with one of its breakdown products, benzoic acid, has previously been linked to mitigating N₂O emissions from urine patches in laboratory studies. However, the sole field study to date found no effect of hippuric and benzoic acids concentration on N₂O emissions. Therefore the aim of this study was to investigate the *in situ* effect of these urine constituents on N₂O emissions under conditions conducive to denitrification losses. Unadulterated bovine urine (0 mM of hippuric acid, U) was applied, as well as urine amended with either benzoic acid (96 mM, U+BA) or varying rates of hippuric acid (8 and 82 mM, U+HA1, U+HA2). Soil inorganic nitrogen (N) and N₂O fluxes were monitored over a 66 day period. Urine application resulted in elevated N₂O flux for 44 days. The largest N₂O fluxes accounting for between 13% (U) and 26% (U+HA1) of total loss were observed on the day of urine application. Between 0.9 and 1.3% of urine-N was lost as N₂O. Cumulative N₂O loss from the control was 0.3 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ compared with 11, 9, 12, and 10 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹

for the U, U+HA1, U+HA2, and U+BA treatments, respectively. Incremental increases in urine HA or increase in BA concentrations had no effect on N₂O emissions. Although simulation of dietary manipulation to reduce N₂O emissions through altering individual urine constituents appears to have no effect, there may be other manipulations such as reducing N content or inclusion of synthetic inhibitory products that warrant further investigation.

Keywords: benzoic acid, hippuric acid, N₂O mitigation, greenhouse gas, urine constituents, urine patches, denitrification

1. Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N₂O), a greenhouse gas (GHG) with a global warming potential of 298 over a 100 year period, is one of the main GHGs contributing to global climate change (IPCC, 2013). Rising concentrations also contribute to the destruction of the stratospheric ozone layer (Ravishankara et al., 2009). During the last century, atmospheric N₂O concentrations have increased by approximately 20% and are still increasing by 0.2-0.3% yr⁻¹ (Thomson et al., 2012). Agriculture contributes over 40% of global N₂O emissions (Denman et al., 2007), with soil-based emissions in pastoral systems having a proportionately large impact. In Ireland, 32% of national GHG emissions originate from agriculture (Duffy et al., 2013) where the predominant system is pastoral based production from ruminant livestock (Breen et al., 2010). Grazing ruminant livestock deposit 75 – 90% of their nitrogen (N) intake onto pasture as dung and urine. These pasture, range and paddock (PRP) emissions comprise over 40% of the N₂O emitted from these production systems (Oenema et al., 2005). A typical urine patch has a surface area of 0.2 m² and receives 2 L of urine with an N rate of 10 g N L⁻¹, which corresponds to an N rate of 1000 kg N ha⁻¹ (Haynes and Williams, 1993), although significant variation around these values is to be expected. The Irish national dairy herd of 1.08 million

cows deposits approximately 21.65 million litres of urine to Irish grassland soils on a daily basis (CSO, 2013, Duffy et al. 2014). This represents an N load to the soil of approximately 216.5 Mg day⁻¹. Between 50 and 90% of the urinary-N is in the form of urea (Doak, 1952; Bristow et al., 1992). Urea rapidly hydrolyses to ammonium (NH₄⁺), and is then nitrified to nitrate (NO₃⁻) which may be subsequently denitrified through a series of enzyme-catalysed, microbial processes. Nitrous oxide can be produced during both nitrification and denitrification processes, as well as nitrifier denitrification (Wrage et al., 2001, Zhu et al., 2013).

The N₂O emissions from urinary N vary widely with reported emission factors (E.F.) ranging between 0.3 (van der Weerden et al., 2011) and 13.3% (Kool et al., 2006a). On a national scale, the N₂O emissions from urine patches are estimated using a default EF value of 2% from the current Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) guidelines (IPCC, 2006). An increase in ruminant numbers globally driven by a rise in demand for dairy and meat could lead to elevated levels of urine-derived N₂O. Therefore mitigation strategies are urgently needed (Oenema et al., 2005). Possible mitigation technologies can be divided into three categories: a) soil management, b) animal interventions, and c) animal breeding (de Klein and Eckard, 2008; Luo et al, 2010). Specific technologies include manipulation of NO₃⁻ availability, soil aeration, fertiliser management, effluent management, nitrification inhibitors, irrigation or drainage, reducing wet season grazing, altered diet, feed additives, and improving herd genetics.

Nitrogen intake is a principal driver of N losses from cattle (Dijkstra et al., 2013), thus optimizing N intake by animals is a strategy for mitigating N₂O losses associated with N deposition to pasture in dung and urine. Another strategy of interest is dietary amendment to

manipulate the composition and/or the partitioning of animal excreta with the major focus being on urinary N, which is most vulnerable to losses. Hippuric acid (HA) concentration can be manipulated by adjusting the protein content of cattle diets (Kreula et al., 1978, Dijkstra et al., 2013). Kool et al. (2006a) found that increasing HA content of synthetic urine from 3% to 9% of total N decreased N₂O emissions from 7.2% to 4.5%. Similarly, the study of van Groenigen et al. (2006) showed that increasing HA concentration in synthetic urine from 0.4 to 5.6 mM kg⁻¹ of soil decreased N₂O by over 50%. In urine, HA breaks down to glycine and benzoic acid (BA) (Bristow et al., 1992). The latter inhibit enzymes and general microbial activity (Fenner et al., 2005) and these antimicrobial properties have led to the use of BA in food preservation (Chipley, 1983). Microbial inhibition of BA is performed through disrupting microbial cell membrane permeability which affects substrate transport and oxidative phosphorylation from the electron transport system (Fresse et al., 1973, Brul and Coote, 1999). Kool et al. (2006b) suggested that N₂O inhibition occurred in the presence of BA. This hypothesis was confirmed by van Groenigen et al. (2006) who found that both HA and BA inhibit denitrification and N₂O emissions. A study by Bertram et al. (2009) found a 65% reduction in N₂O emissions from real urine treatments with increased HA or BA concentrations. Bertram et al. (2009) noted that both nitrification and denitrification were affected by the treatments. Although the effect of HA and BA was confirmed in the laboratory experiments of Kool et al. (2006a), van Groenigen et al. (2006), and Bertram et al. (2009), the sole *in situ* study to date found no effect of HA and BA concentration on N₂O emissions (Clough et al. 2009). It was argued that the environmental conditions during the study did not favour N₂O loss due to low water-filled pore space (WFPS), on average 32%. Furthermore, the authors pointed out that the lack of N₂O response to HA and BA may be related to differences in soil pH, microbial communities, and the presence of vegetation. The

authors suggested a comprehensive *in situ* examination of the effect of HA and BA on N₂O and microbial sub-populations.

In light of the conflicting results from previous lab studies (Kool et al., 2006a, van Groenigen et al., 2006, Bertam et al., 2009,) and the single *in situ* field study (Clough et al., 2009), the current experiment provides an *in situ* evaluation of the effects of HA and BA on N₂O emissions from real urine applied to pasture. Timing of the experiment was chosen to coincide with WFPS values conducive to denitrification and high N₂O fluxes (Dobbie and Smith, 2001; Smith et al., 2003). The specific objectives of this study were: 1) to evaluate the effect of incremental increases in HA, and an increase in BA concentration on urine N₂O emissions, 2) to quantify potential reduction in N₂O emissions from urine as affected by BA or HA composition, and 3) to assess the differences between HA and BA amended urine on N₂O emissions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Site characteristics

The present *in situ* experiment was conducted on a loam soil (13.9% clay, 33.2% silt, 52.9% sand; N content 0.3%, C content 3.16%, organic C content 3.14%, pH 5.7) classified as a Eutric Cambisol (FAO-Unesco, 1988) at the Teagasc Johnstown Castle Environmental Research Centre, Co. Wexford, Ireland (52°18'N; 6° 30'W). Pasture at the study site consisted of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) reseeded in 2010, which had a history of replacement stock grazing. Previous fertilisation consisted of a combination of urea and calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) at a mean rate of 84 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ over the previous four years. Animals were excluded from the experimental site for six months prior to the beginning of the experiment with grass being harvested for silage in order to minimise

potential confounding effects of urine patches resulting from prior grazing. Grass was cut to a 5 cm height and allowed to regrow to a height of approximately 7-8 cm prior to commencement of the experiment. Rainfall, air and soil temperature were recorded at the meteorological station 1 km from the experimental site.

2.2 Treatments

Urine was collected directly from lactating Holstein-Friesian dairy cows which had been grazing at pasture. Urine was collected into 25 L containers, sealed to minimize N loss by volatilization, and refrigerated. The required volume of refrigerated urine for the experiment was homogenised by mixing in a 220 L barrel. This was sub-sampled for N content determination and then rapidly returned to 25 L drums, sealed, and refrigerated. The urinary-N content was 4.5 g N L⁻¹. The N content was adjusted to approximately 8.0 g N L⁻¹ by adding urea to the urine to approach the upper bound of urine-N content for dairy cows reported by Haynes and Williams (1993). Urine was amended to specific concentrations of HA or BA by spiking with either or both acids. A control urine treatment received no HA or BA addition. Urine was stored at 4°C, and for the two days prior to treatment applications urine temperature was increased to 30°C, the capacity of the available incubation facilities, prior to application to approximate *in vivo* urination, at body temperature. The experimental treatments associated with urine constituent concentrations are summarized in Table 1.

To verify HA and BA concentrations samples were collected at application. Two 30 mL sub-samples of urine from every treatment were taken. Urine samples were diluted 1:3 with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade deionised water and one sub-sample was preserved by adding 1M H₂SO₄ to reduce the pH to 3 and the other sub-sample was preserved by adding 100 µL L⁻¹ chloroform. Samples were labelled and stored at -20°C until analysis. The concentrations were determined using HPLC at the Agri Food Biosciences Institute,

Belfast. Urinary-N content was determined in a 1:500 dilution of urine samples on an Aquakem 600 discrete analyser (Cabrera and Beare, 1993).

Urine treatments were applied in the morning of 14th October 2013. The experimental design was a complete randomized block with six replicates. A volume of 2 L of urine was applied uniformly inside each 0.16 m³ chamber equivalent to an N loading of approximately 1000 kg N ha⁻¹ creating N₂O sampling urine patch. Paired with each N₂O sampling urine patch was an adjacent urine patch of a same size that was used for soil sampling. A 0.16 m³ N₂O chamber collar was used as a template for urine application to the soil sampling plot. These paired soil sampling urine patches were used to measure soil pH, gravimetric water content and mineral N concentrations as noted below.

2.3 N₂O sampling and analysis

Nitrous oxide fluxes were measured on 19 occasions over ten weeks following application of treatments using the closed static chamber technique (Mosier, 1989; de Klein and Harvey, 2012). Square stainless steel collars for N₂O flux measurements with dimensions of 40 cm by 40 cm were inserted at a minimum depth of 5 cm into the soil before urine application. Stainless steel non-insulated, non-vented covers (10 cm high) were used to form a headspace chamber for measurement of N₂O, with a total headspace volume of approximately 16 L. Chamber collars were covered with a neoprene strip and a 10 L container filled with water was placed on top of chamber cover upon sampling to ensure airtight seal of the headspace. A 10 mL sample was drawn 40 minutes after chamber closure through a rubber septum (Becton Dickinson, UK) using a 10 mL polypropylene syringe (BD Plastipak, Becton Dickinson, UK) fitted with a hypodermic needle (BD Microlance 3, Becton Dickinson, UK). The 10 mL air sample was injected into a pre-evacuated (to -1000mbar) 7 mL screw-cap septum glass vials

(Labco, UK). The syringe was flushed once with ambient air before collecting sample from the chamber. Eight samples of ambient air were collected on each gas sampling occasion and were used as T_0 for the N_2O concentration for the flux calculations from the chambers. Linearity of headspace N_2O concentrations was checked at each sampling occasion by collecting five headspace samples per chamber from five various treatments throughout 60 minutes closed period (Chadwick et al., 2014). Nitrous oxide concentrations were analysed using a gas chromatograph (GC) (Varian CP 3800 GC, Varian, USA) fitted with a ^{63}Ni electron capture detector (ECD) with high purity helium as a carrier gas. Samples were returned to ambient pressure immediately before analysis and fed into the system by a Combi-Pal automatic sampler (CTC Analysis, Switzerland). The temperatures of column, injector and detector were 60, 60 and 300 °C, respectively. The GC was calibrated daily and a reference gas standard of known concentration was analysed every eight unknown samples. Areas under N_2O peaks were integrated using Star Chromatography Workstation (Varian, USA). Hourly N_2O emissions were calculated based on the rate of change in N_2O concentration within the chamber during the measurement period. These emissions accounted for air temperature, atmospheric pressure, and the ratio of surface area to chamber volume. Samples were collected between 10 am and 12 am and therefore hourly N_2O flux was assumed to be representative of the average hourly flux of the day and was subsequently used to calculate daily emissions (Blackmer et al. 1982; de Klein et al. 2003). Cumulative emissions were calculated by integrating the calculated daily fluxes and linear interpolation between measurement points (de Klein and Harvey, 2012).

2.4 Soil sampling and analysis

Soil was sampled on 12 occasions over ten weeks following application of treatments. Three replicate paired soil sampling patches were sampled for each treatment with a soil corer (3

cm diameter x 10 cm depth). The cores were placed in plastic sample bags, sealed, and placed in a coolbox for the transport into the laboratory. Samples were sieved using a 4 mm sieve and sub-samples were analysed for gravimetric moisture content, mineral N content, and pH. Gravimetric moisture content was determined by drying the samples for 24 h at 105°C. Volumetric soil moisture content was determined using Theta Probe (type ML2, Delta-T-Devices, UK) during each measurement in order to calculate WFPS (Maljanen et al., 2007). Mineral N was determined by extraction in 2 M KCl (20 g of fresh soil: 100 mL 2 M KCl, shaken for 1 h), the extracts were analysed for NH_4^+ -N and total oxidised N (TON) which is a sum of nitrite (NO_2^- -N) and NO_3^- by colorimetric analysis using an Aquakem 600 discrete analyser. Soil pH was determined in a 1:2 suspension of deionised water with a digital pH meter (In Lab Routine, Mettler Toledo) with glass and calomel electrodes.

2.5 Statistical analyses

Repeated measures ANOVA was conducted using proc MIXED procedure of SAS 9.3 (© 2002-2010, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to output lsmeans by treatment and day for N_2O -N, soil mineral N: NH_4^+ -N and TON, and soil pH. This was a blocked, two way factorial test with both day and treatment as factors. Daily N_2O -N flux was log-transformed $\log(\text{flux} + 10)$ prior to the test in order to overcome non normal distribution of data. Differences between treatments in terms of cumulative N_2O -N flux over the study period were determined using the proc MIXED procedure of SAS and the F-protected Least Significant Difference (L.S.D.) test. The F-protected L.S.D. test, which is a liberal test, was chosen to protect against Type I error i.e. to guard against incorrect rejection of a true null hypothesis.

3. Results

3.1 Environmental variables

The field site received 253 mm of rainfall during the 66 days of the experiment. Within two weeks of urine application, 155.3 mm rainfall was recorded, approximately 130% of the 30 year average rainfall for October. Approximately 50% of the rain fell within the first ten days post-application (Fig. 1). Soil temperature generally decreased throughout the field experiment, falling from a daily average of 14°C to below 7°C (Fig. 1). Between October and December there was approximately 9% more rainfall compared with the 30 year average and the soil temperature was on average 11% higher. The WFPS averaged 71.4% (S.E.M 1.3, n=342) for the experimental period and ranged between 60.1% and 80.3%.

3.2 N₂O fluxes

Nitrous oxide fluxes increased immediately following treatment application. The largest daily fluxes were observed on the day of application for all three amended urine treatments (Fig. 2). Throughout the experiment, fluxes from the control treatment ranged between 1.1 and 12.0 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Mean daily N₂O fluxes from the urine treatments over the experimental period were greater ($P<0.05$) than fluxes from the control ranging from 20 to 702, 10 to 958, 19 to 1160, and 15 to 757 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ d⁻¹ for the U, U+HA1, U+HA2, and U+BA treatments, respectively. Nitrous oxide fluxes decreased to control treatment values by Day 44 in the U+HA2 treatment and Day 51 in the U, U+HA1 and U+BA treatments. Effects of urine constituents manipulation were only seen on the day of urine application when fluxes from the U+HA1, U+HA2, and U+BA treatments were higher ($P<0.05$) than those from unadulterated urine. Fluxes of N₂O from the U, U+HA1, U+HA2, and U+BA treatments were not different throughout the remainder of the experiment.

Cumulative N₂O loss for the control treatment over the duration of the experiment was 0.3 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ compared with 10.6, 9.2, 11.6, and 9.9 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ for the U, U+HA1, U+HA2, U+BA treatments respectively (Fig. 3). Urine deposition increased cumulative N₂O emissions ($P<0.05$) compared to the control (Fig. 3), however, there were no effects of urine manipulation on cumulative N₂O emissions. The cumulative N₂O-N fluxes as a percentage of applied urine-N equated to 1.0(±0.13), 0.9(±0.16), 1.3(±0.28), and 0.9(±0.10) for the U, U+HA1, U+HA2, and U+BA treatments, respectively (S.E.M. in brackets). Linearity analysis of N₂O data showed that 14% of all measured values represented no flux, and of valid fluxes 87% represented linear and 13% quadratic increase over time.

3.3 Soil inorganic N and soil pH

Mean soil NH₄⁺-N concentrations in the control plots ranged between 3.1 and 22.5 kg N ha⁻¹. Concentrations of NH₄⁺-N in the soil under urine treatments ranged from 9.4 to 443.5, 6.3 to 389.8, 4.6 to 325.2, and 5.2 to 385.9, kg N ha⁻¹ for the U, U+HA1, U+HA2, and U+BA treatments, respectively. Soil NH₄⁺-N concentrations were highest immediately following urine application and ranged between 325.2 and 443.5 kg ha⁻¹ for both the U+HA2 and U treatments on Day 0. Soil NH₄⁺-N levels from urine treatments were tenfold higher than those of the control until Day 18 and steadily declined over the experiment, returning to similar levels as in the control by Day 44. Higher ($P<0.05$) soil NH₄-N levels were observed in the U treatment on day 10 compared with the U+HA1 treatment, and on Day 0 compared with the U+HA2 treatment (Fig. 4a). There were no differences in soil NH₄⁺-N concentrations between the U+HA1, U+HA2, and U+BA treatments throughout the experiment and concentrations declined at a similar rate for all urine treatments (Fig. 4a). Concentrations of soil TON in the control were low throughout the measurement period with mean concentrations between 0 and 11.6 kg N ha⁻¹ (Fig. 4b). As the NH₄⁺-N pool in the urine

treatments nitrified, soil TON levels increased over time with the U+BA and U+HA1 treatments different ($P<0.05$) from the control from Day 15, the U treatment from Day 18 and the U+HA2 treatment on Day 24 before returning to levels found in the control by Day 66. Soil TON concentration in the U treatment was greater ($P<0.05$) than in the HA2 treatment between Days 51 and 58, and was also greater ($P<0.05$) in the U+BA than in the U+HA1 and U+HA2 treatments on Day 58. Soil TON concentrations under the urine treatments ranged from 5.8 to 102.6, 7.7 to 86.3, 3.0 to 66.4, and 4.0 to 104.3, kg N ha⁻¹ for the U, U+HA1, U+HA2, and U+BA treatments, respectively.

Soil pH for the control treatment ranged between 5.4 and 5.7 (0-10 cm) during the experimental period (Fig. 5). Following application of urine treatments soil pH increased ($P<0.01$) to between 6.4 and 6.8 (Fig. 5), after which soil pH declined over time. The rate of decline in soil pH was very similar to the decreasing NH₄⁺-N concentrations (Fig. 4a) during the initial 16 days. On Day 18 soil pH values for the urine treatments dropped below the control ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 5). On Day 37 soil pH values of the U+BA and U+HA1 treatments were lower ($P<0.05$) than the control. However, by Day 44 there were no differences in soil pH values between the urine treatments and the control.

4. Discussion

Urine deposition stimulates N₂O emissions and in the current experiment these fluxes spiked rapidly following urine application. The largest N₂O fluxes, which accounted for between 13% (Urine) and 26% (Urine + HA1) of the cumulative emissions, were observed on the day of urine application. This effect was also reported by Williams et al. (1999) who found the highest N₂O fluxes occurred 6 hours following urine application. Maljanen et al. (2007) also reported maximum N₂O emissions immediately after urine application in summer and

autumn. We hypothesise that an increase in water soluble carbon content, possibly due to the lysing of microbial cell membranes in soil following urine application or soil aggregate slaking could have been responsible for stimulating denitrification activity leading to high N₂O emissions (Lambie et al., 2012). However, many studies either do not measure N₂O emissions on the day of urine application, or only point out measurement frequency without indicating the starting point (van Groenigen et al., 2005, Clough et al., 2009, van der Weerden et al., 2011). Wachendorf et al. (2008) suggested that the maximum N₂O emissions might have been missed in their study as a result of long intervals between gas sampling. Finding the highest N₂O flux on the day of urine application underpins the importance of gas measurement immediately following treatment application.

Fluxes of N₂O remained elevated in the current study for a minimum of 44 days which is comparable with other studies that have found emissions elevated for 30-70 days (Allen et al., 1997; van Groenigen et al., 2005; van der Weerden et al., 2011). Other studies, however, report longer emission periods, with de Klein et al. (2003) reporting that four months after urine application the N₂O fluxes were still significantly higher than background levels. It is possible that in this study, carried out on a well-draining loam soil, leaching was a significant N loss pathway (Clough et al., 1998). The findings of this study are in agreement, however, with the work of Selbie et al. (2014) that was conducted on a sandy loam soil from the same location as the current experiment. The emission factors for the urine treatments over the 66 day period were comparable to previously reported values of 0.0% to 2.3% following urine application in autumn-winter and spring-summer (Allen et al., 1996), 0.3 to 0.9% over 103 days (van Groenigen et al., 2005), and 0.29% over 125-133 days following autumn application and for 166-173 days following spring application (van der Weerden et al., 2011). Other studies reported emission factors of 0.3 to 2.5% over 80, 150, and 182 days from the Waikato organic, the Waikato mineral and the Otago soil, respectively (de Klein et al., 2003),

or <1% over 150 days (Clough et al. 1996) and <2% over 406 days (Clough et al., 1998). Previous studies found in Ireland reported emission factors below 0.4% over 80 days in year one and 360 days in year two (Selbie et al., 2014). These reported emission factors are substantially lower than the IPCC EF of 2% per annum (IPCC, 2006).

Simulation of dietary manipulation of ruminant urine by adding HA or BA had no significant effect on N₂O emissions under conditions of high WFPS (60 - 80%) favouring loss via denitrification. Similarly, Clough et al. (2009), the only *in situ* experiment to date to examine the effect of urine HA and BA addition, also reported no effect of HA and BA manipulation on N₂O emission. However, Clough et al. (2009) stated that their results were potentially inconclusive because of low WFPS (<35%) and high soil pH (>6.4) throughout the experiment. Antimicrobial activity of BA is only effective in acidic conditions, as the undissociated molecule is responsible for antimicrobial activity (Chipley, 1983). Both HA and BA are weak organic acids with pK_a of 3.62 and 4.19, respectively, therefore over 50% of these compounds would have been present in their dissociated form in this study. In basic conditions, BA dissociates to the benzoate form, which is not as toxic to bacteria as its acid form. After the initial rise following urine application, soil pH at 0-10 cm in this experiment oscillated around pH 5, which should allow for some of the compound to remain in the undissociated acid form and to exhibit its antimicrobial properties. However this effect was not seen and it can be speculated that microbial community was not affected by the compounds. Additionally, the dissociated forms of HA and BA carry a negative charge and, as such, are liable to leaching.

All four urine treatments produced a similar pattern of N₂O emissions, although differences in N₂O emission were observed on the day of application, where the U+HA2 treatment emitted more N₂O than other urine treatments ($P<0.05$). However, increased concentrations of HA or BA consistently resulted in a small, but not significant elevation rather than

reduction of N₂O emissions. It had been hypothesised by van Groenigen et al. (2006) and Bertram et al. (2009) that 96 mM HA and 50 mM BA would mitigate N₂O loss, but this was not observed in the current study. Effects of urine composition on N₂O emissions were estimated using a liberal statistical testing but even this approach revealed no significant reduction in emissions due to amending HA and BA concentrations. Overall, HA or BA addition in this study had no *in situ* effect on cumulative N₂O emissions.

Previous studies reporting HA or BA mitigation effects were conducted in laboratory conditions on soil cores with no vegetation present (Bertram et al., 2009; van Groenigen et al., 2006). Mechanical disturbance to soil, such as sieving and drying, can alter microbial community size, structure and function (Garcia-Orenes et al., 2013). The studies of both van Groenigen et al. (2006) (sods from underneath grass cover) and Bertram et al. (2009) (sieved soil packed into cores) used disturbed soil communities. This could have subsequently affected residence and metabolism of HA and BA in soil in these studies. Therefore microbial activity responsible for degradation of HA/BA could have been higher in the *in situ* experiment resulting in rapid decrease of HA/BA concentrations. Moreover, laboratory experiments are performed in controlled conditions and in some cases on homogenised soils, therefore greatly reducing spatial variability associated with *in situ* experimentation, and making it more likely to confirm treatment effects. Finally, laboratory studies do not simulate leaching which can be a significant loss pathway, especially in sandy textured, well drained soils (Clough et al., 1998).

Benzoic acid naturally occurs in the soil through root exudates (Bertin et al., 2003) and can be broken down by soil bacteria (Siciliano and Germida, 1998; Deubel et al., 2000). Clough et al. (2009) hypothesised that if the pasture species used in their study exuded BA through root rhizo-deposition, then the soil microbial community could have been pre-dosed to this compound and its toxicity subsequently reduced. Therefore BA could have been degraded

rapidly after urine application. Furthermore, a large proportion of microbial biomass in temperate soils consists of fungi (Ruzicka et al., 2000), especially in permanent grasslands (Frey et al., 1999). A previous study conducted on Irish grassland observed that soil mineral N transformations and denitrification were dominated by fungi (Laughlin & Stevens 2002). It is possible that BA does not affect fungal denitrification and thus would not affect soils where fungi-derived N₂O emissions predominate.

In the current experiment, urine treatments were applied to pasture, directly simulating deposition of excreta by grazing ruminants. Our results lend weight to the lack of *in situ* N₂O mitigation potential of both HA and BA observed by Clough et al. (2009). This study speculated that the lack of observed effect in their experiment may have been due to conditions which did not favour N₂O loss i.e. low WFPS (18 - 51%) and pH between 6.4 and 8.5. These conditions do not encourage denitrification and antimicrobial activity of BA occurring predominantly in acidic environment, therefore could have served as a possible explanation for low emissions and uniform response to treatments. The current study addresses the knowledge gap of N₂O mitigation potential under environmental conditions which were favourable for N₂O loss i.e. high WFPS (60 - 80%) (Smith et al., 2003), and where the antimicrobial activity of BA is viable i.e. acidic pH (Chipley, 1983).

The range of concentrations of HA and BA used in this work was comparable to that of previous studies. However, the infiltration depth of the urine solutions applied to the soil surface differs hugely. Previous laboratory studies used cores with soil depths of 5 cm and 6 cm for van Groenigen et al. (2006) and Bertram et al. (2009), respectively. Clough et al. (2009) assumed urine movement to a depth of 10 cm, but the study of Monaghan et al. (1999) demonstrated the potential of urine to infiltrate further. In their case, 68% of urine moved below 20 cm within 6 hours of application. Additionally, Pakrou and Dillon (1995) found that rainfall promoted downward movement of urine by macropore flow causing urine to infiltrate

to a depth of 30 cm in their experiment. Williams and Haynes (1994) reported an irregular pattern of urine infiltration to a maximum depth of 40 cm. These reports suggest that the effective concentration of both compounds in urine affected soil could have reduced dramatically under *in situ* conditions compared with the cores used in the lab experiments. Little is known about the fate and longevity of these compounds in soils. Clough et al. (2009) hypothesised that the efficacies of the HA/BA could have been reduced due to preferential leaching of the acids away from urine. Selbie et al. (2013) hypothesised that the fate of minor urine constituents such as HA/BA is likely to be similar to that of urea, but with some delay, probably due to a longer decomposition process. The effective threshold concentration of BA for inhibition of N₂O producing microorganisms is unclear. It is commonly determined based on factors including pH, temperature, genus and species of the microorganisms in question (Chipley, 1983). However, if higher concentrations of HA or BA in urine are needed to mitigate N₂O emissions, these could be difficult to achieve due to the low solubility of both compounds. Solubility of HA and BA in cold water is 22 mM and 25 mM, respectively (Fischer Scientific, 2014), and is higher in urine (McGeough, personal communication). The mean concentration of HA in unaltered ruminant urine found by Kreula et al. (1978) was 66 mM, whereas concentrations of both HA and BA in previous studies were amended to a maximum of 96 mM and 50 mM, respectively (Bertram et al., 2009). In this experiment, the highest concentrations for HA and BA were 82 mM and 96 mM, respectively, which for HA is comparable to previous studies, and for BA is the highest achieved concentration to date. It was not possible to achieve higher concentrations in natural urine collected from dairy cows. Mineral N in urine-affected soils followed established patterns over time (Wachendorf et al., 2008). Ammonium concentrations were highest immediately after urine application, which is consistent with hydrolisation of urea, and declined at a similar rate regardless of urine treatment, whereas TON concentrations increased over time which is consistent with

nitrification of ammonium. As nitrification occurs there is a corresponding decline in soil pH which is consistent with the release of free H^+ ions during nitrification (Whitehead, 1995; Cookson and Cornforth, 2002; Clough et al., 2009). Contrary to Bertram et al. (2009), mineral N dynamics were unaffected by the composition of the applied urine.

Although simulation of dietary manipulation to reduce N_2O emissions through altering individual urine constituents appears to have no effect, there may be other urine manipulations, such as reducing N content or inclusion of novel inhibitory products that warrant further investigation.

5. Conclusions

N_2O loss from urine deposition on pasture was measured under conditions favourable for both denitrification and the antimicrobial activity of benzoic acid. N_2O fluxes were observed to increase immediately post-urine application, possibly due to mobilisation of labile carbon pools in the soil. Despite this, the cumulative N_2O emission factors over the experimental period ranged from 0.9 – 1.3%, substantially below the 2% IPCC default emission factor. Simulating manipulation of ruminant urine by adding incremental levels of hippuric and/or benzoic acids had no effect on N_2O emissions indicating that these acids do not affect denitrification *in situ*. Similarly, no treatment effect on nitrification was observed as soil mineral N following application of urine was not affected. Although promising N_2O loss mitigation responses to increasing levels of benzoic and hippuric acid in urine were observed in the lab these acids are not effective at mitigation N_2O loss *in situ*.

458 **Acknowledgements**

459 The authors would like to thank the laboratory and field staff at both Teagasc Johnstown
460 Castle with their assistance on this project. This research was financially supported under the
461 National Development Plan, through the Research Stimulus Fund, administered by the
462 Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (Grant numbers RSF10/RD/SC/716 and
463 11S138).

References

- Allen, A. G., S. C. Jarvis and D. M. Headon (1996). Nitrous oxide emissions from soils due to inputs of nitrogen from excreta return by livestock on grazed grassland in the UK. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 28(4-5): 597-607.
- Bertin, C., X. H. Yang and L. A. Weston (2003). The role of root exudates and allelochemicals in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil* 256(1): 67-83.
- Bertram, J. E., T. J. Clough, R. R. Sherlock, L. M. Condron, M. O'Callaghan, N. S. Wells and J. L. Ray (2009). Hippuric acid and benzoic acid inhibition of urine derived N₂O emissions from soil. *Global Change Biology* 15(8): 2067-2077.
- Blackmer, A. M., S. G. Robbins and J. M. Bremner (1982). Diurnal variability in rate of emission of nitrous-oxide from soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 46(5): 937-942.
- Breen, J. P., T. Donnellan and P. Westhoff (2010). Food for Thought: EU Climate Change Policy Presents New Challenges for Agriculture. *EuroChoices* 9(3): 24-29.
- Bristow, A. W., D. C. Whitehead and J. E. Cockburn (1992). Nitrogenous constituents in the urine of cattle, sheep and goats. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 59(3): 387-394.
- Brul, S. and P. Coote (1999). Preservative agents in foods - Mode of action and microbial resistance mechanisms. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 50(1-2): 1-17.
- Cabrera, M. L. and M. H. Beare (1993). Alkaline persulfate oxidation for determining total nitrogen in microbial biomass extracts. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 57(4): 1007-1012.

486 Chadwick, D. R., L. Cardenas, T. H. Misselbrook, K. A. Smith, R. M. Rees, C. J. Watson, K.
 487 L. McGeough, J. R. Williams, J. M. Cloy, R. E. Thorman and M. S. Dhanoa (2014).
 488 Optimizing chamber methods for measuring nitrous oxide emissions from plot-based
 489 agricultural experiments. *European Journal of Soil Science* 65(2): 295-307.

490 Chipley, J. R. (1983). Sodium benzoate and benzoic acid. *Antimicrobials in Foods*. A. L.
 491 Branen, Davidson, P.M.,. New York, M. Decker.

492 Clough, T. J., S. F. Ledgard, M. S. Sprosen and M. J. Kear (1998). Fate of N-15 labelled
 493 urine on four soil types. *Plant and Soil* 199(2): 195-203.

494 Clough, T. J., J. L. Ray, L. E. Buckthought, J. Calder, D. Baird, M. O'Callaghan, R. R.
 495 Sherlock and L. M. Condon (2009). The mitigation potential of hippuric acid on N₂O
 496 emissions from urine patches: An in situ determination of its effect. *Soil Biology and*
 497 *Biochemistry* 41(10): 2222-2229.

498 Clough, T. J., R. R. Sherlock, K. C. Cameron and S. F. Ledgard (1996). Fate of urine
 499 nitrogen on mineral and peat soils in New Zealand. *Plant and Soil* 178(1): 141-152.

500 Cookson, W. R. and I. S. Cornforth (2002). Dicyandiamide slows nitrification in dairy cattle
 501 urine patches: effects on soil solution composition, soil pH and pasture yield. *Soil Biology*
 502 *and Biochemistry* 34(10): 1461-1465.

503 CSO. (2013). Livestock Survey December 2013. Retrieved 23.07.2014, 2014, from
 504 <http://cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/er/lld/livestocksurveydecember2013/>.

505 de Klein, C. A. M., L. Barton, R. R. Sherlock, Z. Li and R. P. Littlejohn (2003). Estimating a
 506 nitrous oxide emission factor for animal urine from some New Zealand pastoral soils.
 507 *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 41(3): 381-399.

508 de Klein, C. A. M. and M. Harvey (2012). Nitrous Oxide Chamber Methodology
 509 Guidelines. Retrieved 03.09.2014, from
 510 http://www.globalresearchalliance.org/app/uploads/2013/05/Chamber_Methodology_Guidelines_Final-2013.pdf
 511

512 Denman, K. L., G. Brasseur, A. Chidthaisan, P. Ciais, P. M. Cox, R. E. Dickinson, D.
 513 Hauglustaine, C. Heinze, E. Holland, D. Jacob, U. Lohmann, S. Ramachandran, P. L. da
 514 Silva Dias, S. C. Wofsy and X. Zhang (2007) Couplings between changes in the climate
 515 system and biogeochemistry. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis.
 516 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
 517 Panel on Climate Change, 499-588.

518 Deubel, A., A. Gransee and W. Merbach (2000). Transformation of organic rhizodepositions
 519 by rhizosphere bacteria and its influence on the availability of tertiary calcium phosphate.
 520 Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 163(4): 387-392.

521 Dijkstra, J., O. Oenema, J. W. van Groenigen, J. W. Spek, A. M. van Vuuren and A. Bannink
 522 (2013). Diet effects on urine composition of cattle and N₂O emissions. Animal 7: 292-302.

523 Doak, B. W. J. o. A. S. (1952). Some chemical changes in the nitrogenous constituents of
 524 urine when voided on pasture. Journal of Agricultural Science 42: 162-171.

525 Dobbie, K. E. and K. A. Smith (2001). The effects of temperature, water-filled pore space
 526 and land use on N₂O emissions from an imperfectly drained gleysol. European Journal of Soil
 527 Science 52(4): 667-673

528 Duffy, P., Hyde, B., Hanley, E., Core, C., O'Brien, P., Cotter, E. & Black, K. 2014. Ireland
 529 national inventory report 2014, greenhouse gas emissions 1990-2012 reported to the United

530 Nations framework convention on climate change. Wexford: Environmental Protection
 531 Agency.

532 FAO-Unesco (1988). Soil map of the world. Revised legend. World Soil Resources Report
 533 60. Rome.

534 Fenner, N., C. Freeman and B. Reynolds (2005). Hydrological effects on the diversity of
 535 phenolic degrading bacteria in a peatland: implications for carbon cycling. *Soil Biology and*
 536 *Biochemistry* 37(7): 1277-1287.

537 Fresse, E., C. W. Sheu and E. Galliers (1973). Function of lipophilic acids as antimicrobial
 538 food additives. *Nature* 241: 321-325.

539 Frey, S. D., E. T. Elliott and K. Paustian (1999). Bacterial and fungal abundance and biomass
 540 in conventional and no-tillage agroecosystems along two climatic gradients. *Soil Biology and*
 541 *Biochemistry* 31(4): 573-585.

542 Garcia-Orenes, F., A. Morugan-Coronado, R. Zornoza and K. Scow (2013). Changes in Soil
 543 Microbial Community Structure Influenced by Agricultural Management Practices in a
 544 Mediterranean Agro-Ecosystem. *Plos One* 8(11): 9.

545 Haynes, R. J. and P. H. Williams (1993). Nutrient cycling and soil fertility in the grazed
 546 pasture ecosystem. *Advances in Agronomy*, 49: 119-199.

547 Huijsmans, J. F. M., J. M. G. Hol and M. M. W. Hendriks (2001). Effect of application
 548 technique, manure characteristics, weather and field conditions on ammonia volatilization
 549 from manure applied to grassland. *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science* 49(4): 323-
 550 342.

551 IPCC (2006). 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Prepared by
 552 the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. B. L. Eggleston H.S., Miwa K., Ngara
 553 T. and Tanabe K. Hayama, IGES, Japan.

554 IPCC (2013). Climate Change 2013 The Physical Science Basis Working Group I
 555 Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
 556 Change. Edited T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.K. Plattner et al. Cambridge University Press,
 557 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

558 Kool, D. M., E. Hoffland, E. W. J. Hummelink and J. W. van Groenigen (2006a). Increased
 559 hippuric acid content of urine can reduce soil N₂O fluxes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*
 560 38(5): 1021-1027.

561 Kool, D. M., E. Hoffland, S. P. A. Abrahamse and J. W. van Groenigen (2006b). What
 562 artificial urine composition is adequate for simulating soil N₂O fluxes and mineral N
 563 dynamics? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38(7): 1757-1763.

564 Kreula, M., A. Rauramaa and T. Ettala (1978). Effect of feeding on the hippuric acid content
 565 of cows urine. *Journal of the Scientific Agricultural Society of Finland* 50(4): 372-377.

566 Lambie, S. M., et al. (2012). Solubilisation of soil carbon following treatment with cow urine
 567 under laboratory conditions. *Soil Research* 50(1): 50-57.

568 Laughlin, R. J. and R. J. Stevens (2002). Evidence for fungal dominance of denitrification
 569 and codenitrification in a grassland soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 66(5):
 570 1540-1548.

571 Luo, J., et al. (2010). Management options to reduce nitrous oxide emissions from intensively
 572 grazed pastures: A review. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 136(3-4): 282-291.

573 Maljanen, M., M. Martikkala, H. T. Koponen, P. Virkajarvi and P. J. Martikainen (2007).
574 Fluxes of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from experimental excreta patches in boreal
575 agricultural soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 39(4): 914-920.

576 Monaghan, R. M., P. Carey, A. K. Metherell, P. L. Singleton, J. Drewry and B. Addison
577 (1999). Depth distribution of simulated urine in a range of soils soon after deposition. *New*
578 *Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* 42(4): 501-511.

579 Mosier, A. R. (1989). Chamber and isotope techniques. *Exchange of Trace Gases between*
580 *Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere*. M. O. Andreae and D. S. Schimel. Chichester,
581 John Wiley: 175-187.

582 Oenema, O., N. Wrage, G. L. Velthof, J. W. van Groenigen, J. Dolfing and P. J. Kuikman
583 (2005). Trends in global nitrous oxide emissions from animal production systems. *Nutrient*
584 *Cycling in Agroecosystems* 72(1): 51-65.

585 Pakrou, N. and P. Dillon (1995). Preferential flow, nitrogen transformations and N-15
586 balance under urine-affected areas of irrigated and non-irrigated clover-based pastures.
587 *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* 20(3-4): 329-347.

588 Ravishankara, A. R., J. S. Daniel and R. W. Portmann (2009). Nitrous Oxide (N₂O): The
589 Dominant Ozone-Depleting Substance Emitted in the 21st Century. *Science* 326(5949): 123-
590 125.

591 Ruzicka, S., D. Edgerton, M. Norman and T. Hill (2000). The utility of ergosterol as a
592 bioindicator of fungi in temperate soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 32(7): 989-1005.

593 Selbie D.R., K.C. Cameron, Di H.J., Moir J.L., Lanigan G., Richards K.G.(2014) The effect
594 of urinary nitrogen loading rate and DCD nitrification inhibitor on nitrous oxide emissions
595 from an Irish grassland soil, *Journal of Agricultural Science*

596 Selbie, D. (2013). The fate of nitrogen in an animal urine patch as affected by urine nitrogen
 597 loading rate and the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide. PhD, Lincoln University.

598 Siciliano, S. D. and J. J. Germida (1998). Degradation of chlorinated benzoic acid mixtures
 599 by plant-bacteria associations. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 17(4): 728-733.

600 Smith, K. A., T. Ball, F. Conen, K. E. Dobbie, J. Massheder and A. Rey (2003). Exchange of
 601 greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and
 602 biological processes. *European Journal of Soil Science* 54(4): 779-791.

603 Thomson, A. J., G. Giannopoulos, J. Pretty, E. M. Baggs and D. J. Richardson
 604 (2012). Biological sources and sinks of nitrous oxide and strategies to mitigate emissions.
 605 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 367(1593): 1157-
 606 1168.

607 van der Weerden, T. J., J. F. Luo, C. A. M. de Klein, C. J. Hoogendoorn, R. P. Littlejohn and
 608 G. J. Rys (2011). Disaggregating nitrous oxide emission factors for ruminant urine and dung
 609 deposited onto pastoral soils. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 141(3-4): 426-436.

610 van Groenigen, J. W., P. J. Kuikman, W. J. M. de Groot and G. L. Velthof (2005). Nitrous
 611 oxide emission from urine-treated soil as influenced by urine composition and soil physical
 612 conditions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 37(3): 463-473.

613 van Groenigen, J. W., V. Palermo, D. M. Kool and P. J. Kuikman (2006). Inhibition of
 614 denitrification and N₂O emission by urine-derived benzoic and hippuric acid. *Soil Biology*
 615 *and Biochemistry* 38(8): 2499-2502.

616 Wachendorf, C., C. Lampe, F. Taube and K. Dittert (2008). Nitrous oxide emissions and
 617 dynamics of soil nitrogen under N-15-labeled cow urine and dung patches on a sandy
 618 grassland soil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 171(2): 171-180.

619 Whitehead, D. C. (1995). Grassland Nitrogen. Wallingford, Oxon, UK, CAB International.

620 Williams, D. L., P. Ineson and P. A. Coward (1999). Temporal variations in nitrous oxide
621 fluxes from urine-affected grassland. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 31(5): 779-788.

622 Williams, P. H. and R. J. Haynes (1994). Comparison of initial wetting pattern, nutrient
623 concentrations in soil solution and the fate of n-15-labeled urine in sheep and cattle urine
624 patch areas of pasture soil. *Plant and Soil* 162(1): 49-59.

625 Wrage, N., G. L. Velthof, M. L. van Beusichem and O. Oenema (2001). Role of nitrifier
626 denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33(12-13):
627 1723-1732.

628 Zhu, X., et al. (2013). Ammonia oxidation pathways and nitrifier denitrification are
629 significant sources of N₂O and NO under low oxygen availability. *Proceedings of the*
630 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110(16): 6328-6333.

631

List of Tables

Table. 1 Summary of urine compositions and N application rate for the applied treatments.

List of Figures

Fig. 1. Rainfall, air and soil temperature over the 66 day experimental period at the meteorological station 1 km from the experimental site. Water-filled pore space values determined from in situ sampling at the experimental site.

Fig. 2. Effect of urine treatments on mean daily fluxes of N₂O from the pasture soil over a 66 day *in situ* experiment where treatments were applied on 14.10.2013, and final measurements were taken on 19.12.2013. Data points are the means (Error bar indicates pooled standard error of the mean, $n=6$).

Fig. 3. Effect of urine treatments on mean cumulative fluxes of N₂O from the pasture soil over a 66 day *in situ* experiment. Data points are the means. (Letters indicate differences at 95% confidence interval, $n=6$).

Fig. 4. Effect of urine treatments on soil ammonium (A) and soil total oxidised nitrogen (B) concentrations over the 66 day *in situ* experiment. Data points are the means. (Error bars indicate pooled standard error of the mean, $n=3$).

Fig. 5. Effect of urine treatments on soil pH over the 66 day *in situ* experiment. Data points are the means. (Error bar indicates pooled standard error of the mean, $n=3$).