Electronic feeding behavioural data as indicators of health status in dairy calves
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Abstract

The objectives of this study were (i) to characterise clinical health in dairy calves on an Irish research farm during the artificial calf-rearing period and (ii) to determine whether calves’ pre-weaning intakes and feeding behaviour, recorded by electronic calf feeders, changes in response to incidents of bovine respiratory disease (BRD). Holstein–Friesian (H–F) and Jersey (J) calves were fed by automatic milk replacer (MR) and concentrate feeders. Feeding behaviour, including MR consumption, drinking speed, number of rewarded and unrewarded visits to the feeder as well as concentrate consumption, was recorded by the feeders. A modified version of the Wisconsin calf health scoring criteria chart was used to score calves’ clinical measurements and identify incidences of BRD. Thus, 40% of calves were found to have at least one incident of BRD. Feeding behaviour was altered during incidents of BRD. The number of unrewarded visits to the feeder was reduced, by approximately four visits, for calves with BRD during the 3 d prior to the identification of BRD ($P < 0.05$) and tended to be reduced during the 7 d following the identification of BRD ($P = 0.05$), compared with healthy calves. Additionally, calves with BRD had a tendency for reduced net energy intake (approximately 8%) during the 3 d prior to the identification of BRD, compared with healthy calves. Therefore, calf feeding behavioural data, recorded by electronic feeders during the pre-weaning period, can indicate cases of BRD.
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Introduction

Enteritis and bovine respiratory disease (BRD) are the most common diseases resulting in mortality in neonatal calves and calves from 1 mo to 6 mo of age, respectively (Sivula et al., 1996b; Svensson et al., 2006; Gulliksen et al., 2009). Diseases during the calf-rearing period are known contributors of substantial economic losses to the dairy industry (Waltner-Toews et al., 1986; Raboisson et al., 2013). Dairy calf mortality rates vary among countries, with estimates as low as 3.1% being reported in Sweden and Denmark (Svensson et al., 2006; Gulliksen et al., 2009), and rates ranging from 7.9% to 9.4% reported in the United States (Losinger and Heinrichs, 1997; Lundborg et al., 2007). In Ireland, the mortality rate for dairy calves during the first year of life is high, at 7.5% (DAFM, 2014). Furthermore, with the recent abolishment of milk quotas, herd sizes are set to increase, and larger herds tend to have increased mortality (Gulliksen et al., 2009; Torsein et al., 2011). However, a broad range of mortality rates can be observed across farms, which suggests that reductions could be achieved via improvements in management, health and husbandry practices (Torsein et al., 2011; Raboisson et al., 2013). Recognised risk factors for dairy calf morbidity and mortality during the rearing period include calf birth weight, colostrum intake, milk feeding practices, housing, age at weaning and exposure to infectious disease (Sivula et al., 1996a; Brickell et al., 2009). However, the impact of these risk factors on calf mortality is often found to be inconsistent across studies (Brickell et al., 2009). Due to differences in production and rearing systems relative to those practiced in Ireland, importation of findings from many international studies (Sivula et al., 1996a; Losinger and Heinrichs, 1997; Svensson et al., 2003; Lundborg et al., 2005; Torsein et al., 2011) is not always possible nor appropriate.

Recent research has shown that breed can affect immune responses in Holstein and Jersey (J) calves (Ballou, 2012; Johnston et al., 2016a, 2016b). Furthermore, Montbeliarde and Normande heifers have been observed to have higher mortality rates than Holstein–Friesian (H–F) calves (Raboison et al., 2013). However, studies investigating the effect of plane of nutrition have produced varying results, with some authors suggesting improved immune responses from calves on higher planes of nutrition (Ballou, 2012) and others suggesting the opposite (Foote et al., 2007; Obeidat et al., 2013). As level of nutrition and breed can influence the activity of the immune system in dairy calves, it is hypothesised that these factors may affect the frequency of disease incidences during the artificial rearing period.
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It may be possible to identify sick calves using feed-related data generated by electronic calf milk replacer (MR) and concentrate feeding systems. It has previously been shown that decreases in concentrate consumption, recorded by an electronic calf concentrate feeding system, were correlated with decreased health status and increased veterinary treatments (Roth et al., 2009). Sick calves have been observed to decrease their unrewarded visits to the MR feeder (Svensson and Jensen, 2007). Furthermore, calves on high allowances of MR decreased their number and duration of visits to the MR feeder and decreased their milk consumption when sick, while calves on lower allowances decreased the duration of their visits at the MR feeder when sick (Borderas et al., 2009). Therefore, changes in net energy (Unité Fourragère Lait (UFL)) consumption, MR consumption, concentrate consumption, number of visits to the feeder and drinking speed may be related to the incidence of disease. Consequently, data from electronic calf feeders have the potential to highlight sick calves that require treatment. Through early identification of sick calves using this feeding behaviour data, morbidity and mortality may be reduced. Therefore, the objectives of this study were (i) to characterise clinical health in H–F and J calves on an Irish research farm on three different planes of nutrition during the calf rearing period and (ii) to determine whether calves’ feeding behaviour, recorded by electronic calf feeders, changes in response to incidents of BRD, during the pre-weaning period.

**Materials and methods**

All animal procedures performed in this study were conducted under experimental licence from the Irish Department of Health and Children (licence number B100/2869). Protocols were in accordance with the Cruelty to Animals Act (Ireland 1876, as amended by the European Communities regulations 2002 and 2005) and the European Community Directive 86/609/EC.

**Animal management**

This experiment was conducted as part of a larger study, and therefore, the animal model and management have previously been described by Johnston et al. (2016a). For clarity, it is also briefly outlined here. The study was structured as a factorial design with two breeds (H–F and J) and three planes of nutrition (High [H], Medium [M] and Low [L]) within a breed. Forty-six H–F and 37 J clinically healthy bull calves were purchased at approximately 2.7 wk of age and group-housed indoors at Teagasc, Grange Beef Research Centre, on sawdust-floored pens (balanced for breed) from day –56 to day 28 of the study. All H–F calves came from a single farm and J calves were sourced from three farms. Calves were immunised on arrival against infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, bovine parainfluenza 3 virus, bovine respiratory syncytial virus, *Mannheimia haemolytica* serotypes A1 and A6 as well as *Salmonella dublin* and *S. typhimurium* using Rispoval IBR-Marker live, Bovipast RSP and Bovivac S vaccines, respectively.

Within each breed, calves were stratified on the basis of live weight, age at the first day of the study (day –56) and sire, and these were subsequently assigned to a nutrition treatment. The planes of nutrition for each breed were devised using the National Research Council (NRC, 2001) guidelines to achieve a target growth rate of ≥1 kg/d, 0.7 kg/d and <0.5 kg/d for H–F calves on the H, M and L planes of nutrition and a target growth rate of 0.7 kg/d, 0.5 kg/d and <0.3 kg/d for J calves on the H, M and L planes of nutrition.

**Study periods**

The pre-weaning, weaning and post-weaning periods were defined as days –56 to –14, days –13 to 0 (milk feeding ceased) and days 1 to 28, respectively. The pre-weaning period was further divided into three sub-periods (start = days –53 to –37; mid = days –36 to –25; end = days –24 to –14).

**Feeding behaviour recorded by the electronic feeders**

Calves were fed a 23% crude protein (CP), 18% lipid MR (Blossom Easymix; Volac, Co., Cavan, Ireland) and concentrate (26.5% barley, 25% soya, 15% maize, 12.5% beet pulp, 12.5% soya hulls, 5% molasses, 2.5% minerals, 1% vegetable oil [18.8% CP, 22.4% neutral detergent fibre and 11.06 MJ metabolisable energy (ME)/kg dry matter (DM)]) using automatic MR (Vario Powder; Förster-Technik GmbH, Engen, Germany) and concentrate (KFA3-MA3; Förster-Technik GmbH) feeders. During the pre-weaning period, H–F calves on the H, M and L planes of nutrition were offered 1.2 kg MR (8 L at 150 g/L) with a maximum of 1.5 kg concentrate and 0.5 kg MR (4 L at 125 g/L) with a maximum of 1 kg concentrate, daily, respectively. The J calves on the H, M and L planes of nutrition were offered 0.8 kg MR (6 L at 133.33 g/L) with *ad libitum* concentrate, 0.5 kg MR (4 L at 125 g/L) with a maximum of 1.5 kg concentrate and 0.35 kg MR (3.5 L at 100 g/L) with a maximum of 1 kg concentrate, daily, respectively. During the weaning phase, daily MR was gradually reduced, and by day –1, all calves were consuming at least 1 kg of concentrate/d for three consecutive days. On day 0, MR was eliminated from the diet of all calves. Concentrate allocation increased post-weaning to 2 kg and 1.7 kg, for H–F calves for M and L nutrition treatments, respectively, and increased to 1.7 kg and 1.4 kg, for J calves on the M and L nutrition treatments, respectively. Animals on the H treatment within both breeds received *ad libitum* access to concentrate feed. Calves on the H and M planes of nutrition were allowed a...
maximum of 2 L MR in a 2-h period and calves on the L plane of nutrition were allowed a maximum of 1.5 L MR in a 2-h period. The electronic feeders recorded the following daily parameters: MR consumption (L/d), drinking speed (mL/min), number of rewarded visits, number of unrewarded visits and concentrate consumption (kg/d) for each calf. The number of rewarded visits was calculated as the number of times a calf entered the MR feeder and received MR. The number of unrewarded visits was the number of times a calf entered the MR feeder but was not entitled to any MR. The daily net energy (UFL) intake values were calculated for each calf by summing its daily MR and concentrate intake values. The UFL value of the MR and concentrate was determined from the net energy (Mcal/kg) values of the ingredients stated in NRC (2001).

Environmental measurements
The daily air temperature was recorded at the on-site meteorological recording station. Average air velocity was taken with the anemometer every weekday for the duration of the study. The anemometer was held in each place for 20 s, and the average air velocity was recorded. Average air velocity was recorded at three different heights: ground level, 1 m above ground level and 2 m above ground level, in three different areas of the pen: the front opposite the automatic feeder, the middle and the back, diagonally opposite to the measurement taken at the front of the pen.

Blood sample collection
At arrival, blood samples were collected from all calves via jugular venipuncture into 8.5 mL BD Serum Separator Tube ™ Advance tubes (BD Vacutainer®; Unitech, Dublin, Ireland). The serum was harvested, and samples were stored at −20°C pending analysis by the zinc sulphate turbidity (ZST) test. The ZST test (proxy for immunoglobulin status) was performed at 20°C, and the turbidity was subsequently measured at 520 nm using a UV-mini-spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) (McEwan et al., 1970).

Clinical assessment of calves
Clinical assessments of the calves were taken twice a week during the pre-weaning and weaning periods and once per week during the post-weaning period. These assessments included monitoring of rectal temperature, faecal consistency (normal, semi-formed, loose and watery), presence of cough (none, induced or spontaneous cough; or repeated induced coughs or repeated spontaneous coughs), ear position (normal, ear flick or head shake, unilateral droop, head tilt or bilateral droop), presence of nasal discharge (none, small amount of unilateral discharge, bilateral or excessive discharge or copious bilateral discharge) and ocular discharges (none, small amount, moderate amount of bilateral discharge or heavy discharge) (McGuirk and Peek, 2014). A modified version of the Wisconsin calf health scoring criteria was used to score the calves’ clinical measurements and determine the incidents of the BRDC (McGuirk and Peek, 2014). A respiratory score was devised from the cumulative score from nasal discharge, eye or ear score (whichever is greater), cough and rectal temperature (McGuirk and Peek, 2014). A calf was considered to have a BRDC event if it had a Wisconsin respiratory score greater than or equal to 5.0, in addition to having a rectal temperature of at least 39.5°C. When a calf presented with a faecal score of 3.0 or if it had a faecal score of 2.0 for ≥2 d, it was considered to have enteritis.

Veterinary treatments
When calves presented with a rectal temperature ≥39.5°C, their feed intake and clinical records were monitored. Antibiotic treatment was administered if clinical manifestations of disease were present and/or feed intake was reduced. Electrolytes and/or antibiotics were administered if a calf presented with a faecal score of 3.0 or if it had a faecal score of 2.0 for ≥2 d.

Removal of calves from the study
One H–F (L plane of nutrition) calf and one J (L plane of nutrition) calf died from BRD during the pre-weaning period. Seven J (one from the H, three from the M and three from the L planes of nutrition) and two H–F (one from the H and one from the L planes of nutrition) calves were removed from the study on the recommendation of the veterinary practitioner and placed in isolation pens due to severe BRD. One J (L plane of nutrition) and four H–F (three from the H and one from the M planes of nutrition) calves were removed from the study as they had more than two incidences of BRD during the entire artificial rearing period.

Statistical analysis
All data analysis was performed using SAS (2003) version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The calf was the experimental unit in all analyses. All data were initially examined for adherence to a normal distribution (PROC UNIVARIATE). Data not normally distributed were transformed by raising the variable, as appropriate, to the power of lambda. The required lambda value was calculated by conducting a Box–Cox transformation analysis using the TRANSREG procedure. A pre-weaning health category was devised for the ZST analysis. In this health category, calves were categorised as having developed BRD during the pre-weaning period or not having developed BRD during the pre-weaning period. Differences in ZST values between calves from each breed, plane of nutrition and pre-weaning BRD health category were analysed using the mixed models procedure (PROC MIXED). The model included breed, plane of nutrition and pre-weaning BRDC health category as the fixed effects.
Differences in the number of calves that died during the study period, the number of calves with BRD incidents per period and sub-period, the number of calves with enteritis incidents and the number of calves removed from the study due to severe BRD between the two breeds and the three planes of nutrition were analysed using logistic regression (PROC LOGISTIC).

Three different sickness periods (BRD day, pre-BRD days and post-BRD days) were devised for analysing the effect of health status (having BRD versus healthy matched control) on pre-weaning feeding behaviour variables. The BRD day was assigned as the day that BRD was identified using clinical health assessments, the pre-BRD period included the 3 d before BRD was identified and the post-BRD period comprised the 7 d following identification of BRD. Pre-BRD and post-BRD averages were calculated for each BRD event for all feeding behaviour variables (net energy [UFL] consumption, MR consumption, drinking speed, number of visits to the feeder rewarded with MR, number of visits to the feeder unrewarded with MR and concentrate consumption) during the pre-weaning period. For each calf with BRD event, a healthy calf (matched control) that was of the same breed, offered the same plane of nutrition and was of a similar age and starting weight was identified. The healthy calf had to have no incidents of BRD during the pre-weaning sub-periods (start, mid and end), which encompassed the 3 d before the BRD event, the day of the BRD event and the 7 d following the BRD event. The equivalent BRD day, pre-BRD days and post-BRD days were assigned to the healthy matched control and the equivalent pre-BRD averages and post-BRD averages for all the feeding behaviour variables were also calculated for the matched healthy control.

The pre-BRD averages, the BRD day data and the post-BRD averages for the feeding behaviour variables were tested separately using mixed models (PROC MIXED). The model included plane of nutrition, breed, health status (calf with BRD or healthy matched control) and their interactions as fixed effects. Non-statistically significant interactions were sequentially removed from the models. Calf was included as a random effect. Differences between the means were tested using the PDIF option within the MIXED procedure and a Tukey post hoc analysis. Means were considered statistically significantly different at a probability level of $P < 0.05$.

### Results

#### Environmental measures

The average (s.d.) air temperature recorded while the calves were reared was 9.2 (5.0)°C. The average (s.d.) air velocity in the calves’ rearing pens was 0.18 (0.36) m/s.

#### Zinc sulphate turbidity test

The ZST test performed on serum collected on arrival of the calves showed that J calves had greater maternally derived passive immunity – mean (s.d.): 19.7 (0.56) units – than H–F calves – mean (s.d.): 16.7 (0.49) units ($P < 0.001$). Passive immunity was not different between nutrition treatments or between calves that developed or that did not develop the BRDC during the pre-weaning period.

#### Clinical health

The mortality rate was 2.4% for this group of calves. The mortality rate was not affected by breed or plane of nutrition ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, 10, 11, nine, eight and four calves had an incident of BRD during the pre-weaning sub-period start, the pre-weaning sub-period mid, the pre-weaning sub-period end, the weaning period and the post-weaning period, respectively (Table 1). The likelihood of a calf having BRD during any of the periods or sub-periods was not affected by breed or plane of nutrition. Three calves had an incident of BRD during two pre-weaning sub-periods. Overall, 27 calves developed BRD (40%) at least once during the entire pre-weaning period. Five calves (7%) and one calf (1%) developed enteritis and a gastrointestinal disorder during the pre-weaning period, respectively (Table 1). No calves developed enteritis or a gastrointestinal disorder during the weaning or post-weaning period. Eight calves (12%) and four calves (6%) had an incident of BRD during the weaning and post-weaning periods, respectively.

#### Changes in feeding behaviour due to the BRDC

Several feeding behaviour variables were altered in calves that had BRD. Health status affected the number of unrewarded visits to the MR feeder during the pre-BRD period (3 d prior to identification of BRD and the equivalent period for the matched healthy calves) ($P < 0.05$) and tended to affect the number of unrewarded visits to the MR feeder during the post-BRD period (7 d post-identification of BRD and the equivalent period for the matched healthy calves) ($P = 0.05$) (Table 2). Calves with BRD had reduced numbers and tended to have reduced numbers of unrewarded visits to the MR feeder compared with healthy matched controls during the pre-BRD and the post-BRD periods, respectively.

Health status tended to affect the net energy consumption during the BRD day (day that BRD was identified and the equivalent day for the matched healthy calves) ($P = 0.09$) (Table 2). Calves with BRD tended to have reduced net energy consumption compared with healthy matched control calves. Health status also tended to affect concentrate intake during the pre-BRDC period ($P = 0.09$) (Table 2). Calves with BRD tended to have reduced concentrate consumption compared with healthy matched control calves.
There was an interaction between health status and plane of nutrition for drinking speed during the pre-BRDC period and the BRDC day ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2). Calves with BRD on the M plane of nutrition had a reduced drinking speed compared with matched healthy control calves on the M plane of nutrition during the pre-BRDC period and the BRDC day ($P < 0.05$).

Many feeding behaviour variables were affected by breed and plane of nutrition as would be expected due to the design of the animal model (Table 2). Net energy (UFL) was affected by breed during the pre-BRD ($P < 0.001$) and post-BRD ($P < 0.05$) periods (Table 2). The H–F calves had greater net energy consumption during the pre-BRD and post-BRD periods. Net energy was affected by treatment during the pre-BRD period, the BRD day and the post-BRD period ($P < 0.001$) (Table 2). Net energy consumption was different between calves on the H, M and L planes of nutrition during the pre-BRD period ($P < 0.05$), the BRD day ($P < 0.001$) and the post-BRD period ($P < 0.001$). There was an interaction for breed and plane of nutrition for concentrate intake during the pre-BRD period, the BRD day and the post-BRD period ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2). The J calves on the H plane of nutrition had greater concentrate intakes than J calves on the M and L planes of nutrition during the BRD day and the post-BRD period ($P < 0.05$); J calves on the H plane of nutrition had greater concentrate intakes than H–F calves on the H plane of nutrition during the pre-BRD period, the

### Table 1. The number of calves from each breed and plane of nutrition with a sickness event (BRD, enteritis or gastrointestinal disorder) during the pre-weaning sub-periods, as well as the weaning and post-weaning periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>H–F$^1$</th>
<th>J$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plane of nutrition$^3$</td>
<td>H (n = 11)</td>
<td>M (n = 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-weaning period (start)$^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a BRD event</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with an enteritis event</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a gastrointestinal disorder event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-weaning period (mid)$^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a BRD event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with an enteritis event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a gastrointestinal disorder event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-weaning period (end)$^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a BRD event</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with an enteritis event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a gastrointestinal disorder event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaning period$^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a BRD event</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with an enteritis event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a gastrointestinal disorder event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-weaning period$^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a BRD event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with an enteritis event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves with a gastrointestinal disorder event</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$H–F = Holstein–Friesian calves.

$^2$J = Jersey calves.

$^3$H = high plane of nutrition; M = medium plane of nutrition; L = low plane of nutrition.

$^4$Pre-weaning period (start) = days –53 to –37, relative to weaning (day 0); pre-weaning period (mid) = days –36 to –35, relative to weaning (day 0); pre-weaning period (end) = days –24 to –14, relative to weaning (day 0); weaning period = days –14 to –1; post-weaning period = days 0 to 28.
BRD day and the post-BRD period ($P < 0.05$). Furthermore, J calves on the M plane of nutrition had greater concentrate intakes than H–F calves on the M plane of nutrition during the BRD day ($P < 0.05$).

Breed affected the number of unrewarded visits to the MR feeder during the BRD day, with J calves having more unrewarded visits to the MR feeder ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2). There was also an effect of breed on the number of rewarded visits on the BRD day, with H–F calves having more rewarded visits than J calves ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2). Additionally, there was an effect of plane of nutrition on the number of rewarded visits during the pre-BRD period, the BRD day and the post-BRD period ($P < 0.001$) (Table 2). Calves on the H plane of nutrition had a greater number of visits rewarded with MR compared with calves on the M and L nutrition treatments during the pre-BRD period, the BRD day and the post-BRD period ($P < 0.05$). Calves on the M plane of nutrition had a greater number of visits rewarded with MR compared with calves on the L nutrition treatment during the pre-BRD period ($P < 0.01$).

Breed affected drinking speed during the BRD day and the post-BRD period, with H–F calves having greater drinking speeds than J calves ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2). Drinking speed was affected by plane of nutrition during the post-BRD period ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2). Calves on the M plane of nutrition had a greater drinking speed than calves on the H or L plane of nutrition ($P < 0.05$).

### Discussion

This is the first study to examine the effects of incidents of BRD on feeding behaviour recorded by electronic feeders in Irish dairy calves. The mortality rate for this group of artificially reared H–F and J calves was low compared with those reported in other studies (Losingor and Heinrichs, 1997; Svensson et al., 2006; Lombard et al., 2007; Gulliksen et al., 2009). However, morbidity – and in particular, incidents of BRD – was greater in this study than that generally observed for artificially reared dairy calves (Svensson et al., 2003; Lundborg et al., 2005; Gay and Barnouin, 2009). Nevertheless, morbidity generally varies between farms, and rates similar to and greater than that observed in this study have been identified in Ireland (Conneely et al., 2014) and in some farms internationally (Lundborg et al., 2005; Svensson and Jensen, 2007; Borderas et al., 2009). Furthermore, consistent with previous studies, plane of nutrition had no effect on the number of incidents of disease (Conneely et al., 2014). This is probably because all calves received adequate nutrition (i.e., more than maintenance). This study and our previous study (Johnston et al., 2016a) have demonstrated that feeding greater amounts of MR and concentrate does not appear to augment immune function in dairy calves.

Passive transfer of maternal immunoglobulin is important in determining the subsequent health and level of morbidity in the pre-weaned calf (Donovan et al., 1998; Dewell et al., 2006; Godden, 2008; Berge et al., 2009; Furman-Fratczak et al., 2011; Lorenz et al., 2011; Murray et al., 2014). However, consistent with other studies (Sivula et al., 1996b; Virtala et al., 1996), the level of maternally derived serum immunoglobulin (measured by the ZST test) did not affect the likelihood of a calf developing BRD during the pre-weaning period in this study. However, no calves had failure of passive transfer ($<12$ ZST units). Moreover, J calves had greater levels of maternally derived passive immunity than H–F calves and this is consistent with the results of other published studies (Tennant et al., 1969; Jones et al., 2004; Ballou, 2012; Villarroel et al., 2013; Vogels et al., 2013). Despite having greater maternally derived passive immunity, more J calves were removed from the experiment following the advice of the veterinarian due to severe symptoms of BRD compared with H–F calves. This observation, along with reports of J calves being more susceptible to infections with *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* (Çetinkaya et al., 1997; Jakobsen et al., 2000) and *S. typhimurium* (Wray and Sojka, 1978) than H–F calves, suggests differences in immune activity between these two breeds.

One reason for the high morbidity observed in this study may be the use of the on-farm respiratory screening tool, along with rectal temperature, for the identification of BRD. The Wisconsin health screening tool was designed for use twice a week to facilitate early detection of pre-weaned calves with BRD (McGuirk and Peek, 2014). We used a minimum rectal temperature of 39.5°C, in addition to respiratory scoring, for the identification of sick calves as rectal temperature has a higher specificity than respiratory scoring (Schaefer et al., 2007). Therefore, it was more accurate to include it along with the more subjective clinical score for the true identification of a BRD case (White and Renter, 2009). However, BRD screening may identify more cases of BRD than would be routinely detected by farmers. Indeed, high morbidity rates have been reported in studies routinely using on-farm screening tools for the identification of BRD (Svensson and Jensen, 2007; Borderas et al., 2009; Conneely et al., 2014; McGuirk and Peek, 2014; Peña et al., 2016). Furthermore, many BRD cases can go undetected, and a study has reported that 69.5% of calves presenting with lung lesions at slaughter had never been treated for BRD (Thompson et al., 2006). As the average daily live-weight gain for calves from each feeding treatment was not compromised (Johnston et al., 2016a), the incidents of BRD detected using on-farm BRDC screening did not appear to have adversely affected growth. Therefore, it is possible that these calves would not be identified as BRD cases without thorough on-farm screening.
Table 2. The effect of health status, plane of nutrition, breed and their interactions on feeding behaviour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Health status (HS)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Plan of nutrition (N)</th>
<th>BREED (B)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>P values</th>
<th>Interactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRDC Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>H M L</td>
<td>H-F J</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-BRD</td>
<td>1.71 1.85</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.43 1.60 1.32</td>
<td>0.10 1.94</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Te *** ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD day</td>
<td>1.80 1.91</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.55 1.71 1.30</td>
<td>0.09 1.90</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>NS *** NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-BRD</td>
<td>1.85 1.97</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.63 1.76 1.34</td>
<td>0.10 2.02</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>NS *** NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-BRD</td>
<td>5.22 5.26</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>7.00 4.98 3.75</td>
<td>0.09 5.96</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>NS *** ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD day</td>
<td>5.10 5.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.74 4.92 3.56</td>
<td>0.08 5.86</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>NS *** ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post BRD</td>
<td>5.03 5.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6.68 4.80 3.62</td>
<td>0.06 5.86</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>NS *** ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-BRD</td>
<td>0.44 0.56</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.58 0.39 0.53</td>
<td>0.11 0.37</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>NS NS **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD day</td>
<td>0.56 0.68</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.77 0.52 0.58</td>
<td>0.09 0.36</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Te * ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post BRD</td>
<td>0.65 0.77</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.90 0.61 0.62</td>
<td>0.10 0.51</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>NS * ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrewarded visits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-BRD</td>
<td>9.95 13.55</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>10.05 12.20 13.00</td>
<td>1.68 12.04</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>* NS NS NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD day</td>
<td>10.13 12.49</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>9.42 12.12 12.39</td>
<td>1.77 9.70</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>NS NS *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-BRD</td>
<td>9.06 11.96</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>7.82 10.75 12.96</td>
<td>1.51 10.24</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>Te Te NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rewarded visits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-BRD</td>
<td>3.39 3.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.95 3.47 2.45</td>
<td>0.20 3.47</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>NS *** NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD day</td>
<td>3.55 3.51</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.34 3.43 2.83</td>
<td>0.28 3.87</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>NS *** **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post BRD</td>
<td>3.32 3.33</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.22 3.07 2.69</td>
<td>0.25 3.53</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>NS *** NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking speed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-BRD</td>
<td>1026.12 1059.62</td>
<td>47.91</td>
<td>1109.32 1068.70 950.58</td>
<td>53.30 1045.53</td>
<td>1040.21</td>
<td>48.35</td>
<td>NS * NS NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD day</td>
<td>964.76 1003.72</td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>1023.59 1031.63 897.50</td>
<td>37.21 1034.59</td>
<td>933.89</td>
<td>30.74</td>
<td>NS * *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-BRD</td>
<td>951.55 977.80</td>
<td>30.38</td>
<td>918.10 1064.21 911.72</td>
<td>37.58 1019.29</td>
<td>910.07</td>
<td>30.11</td>
<td>NS ** *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Health status: BRD = calf with bovine respiratory disease; control = matched healthy calf.
2SEM = standard error of the mean.
3Plane of nutrition: H = high plane of nutrition; M = medium plane of nutrition; L = low plane of nutrition.
4Breed: H–F = Holstein-Friesian calves; J = Jersey calves.
5Interactions: HS ´ N is an interaction between “health score (HS)” and “plane of nutrition (N)”; HS ´ B is an interaction between “health score (HS)” and “breed (B)”; N ´ B is an interaction between “plane of nutrition (N)” and “breed (B)”.
6UFL = net energy intake (Unité Fourragère Lait); milk = milk replacer consumption per day (in litres); concentrate = concentrate consumption per day (in kilograms); unrewarded visits = number of visits with no milk replacer per day; rewarded visits = number of visits rewarded with milk replacer per day; drinking speed = rate of drinking (millilitres per minute); Pre-BRD = the average value for the 3 d before the BRD event was recorded; BRD day = the day the BRD event was recorded; post-BRD = the average value for the 7 d after the BRD event was recorded. The values are expressed as least square means (Lsmeans) and SEM.

* = P < 0.05; ** = P < 0.01; *** = P < 0.001; NS = not significant (P > 0.05); Te = statistical tendency (P > 0.05 but <0.10).
Automatic MR and concentrate feeders can provide producers with feeding behaviour data, including MR and concentrate consumption, number of visits to the feeder and drinking speed. These data may be used to identify sick calves (Svensson and Jensen, 2007; Borderas et al., 2009; Roth et al., 2009). Decreases in concentrate consumption have been correlated with decreased health status (Roth et al., 2009). Indeed, we found a tendency \((P = 0.09)\) for decreased concentrate consumption in calves with BRD compared with that in healthy matched controls on the day BRD was identified. Concentrate consumption was decreased by approximately 18% in calves that were identified as BRD cases. Furthermore, we identified a tendency \((P = 0.09)\) for decreased net energy (UFL) consumption by calves with BRD compared with the consumption by healthy matched controls on the 3 d prior to the identification of BRD by clinical examination. Net energy intake in calves with BRD was decreased by approximately 8% during this period. Sick calves have also previously been reported to decrease their number of unrewarded visits to the MR feeder (Svensson and Jensen, 2007). The results of this study are consistent with this previous observation. Calves with BRD had decreased numbers of unrewarded visits (decreased by approximately four visits) to the MR feeder during the 3 d prior to and tended \((P = 0.05)\) to have decreased numbers of unrewarded visits to the MR feeder during the 7 d following a BRD event being identified by clinical examination, compared with healthy matched controls. Similarly, Borderas et al. (2009) observed that on the day of sickness and on days 1–3 post-sickness, sick calves on the H plane of nutrition decreased their number and duration of total visits to the MR feeder, and sick calves on the L plane of nutrition decreased the duration of visits to the MR feeder. Borderas et al. (2009) also reported reduced milk intakes for sick calves on the H plane of nutrition. However, consistent with the results of the study by Svensson and Jensen (2007), reduced milk consumption was not observed for calves with the BRDC in this study.

Calves with BRD had decreased unrewarded visits during the 3 d prior to a BRD event being recorded and tended to have decreased unrewarded visits during the 7 d following identification of BRD, compared with healthy calves. Furthermore, there was a tendency for decreased net energy intake on the 3 d prior to BRD being identified and a tendency for decreased concentrate consumption on the day BRD was identified for calves with BRD compared with healthy calves. Therefore, feeding behaviour can be an identifier of respiratory disease in pre-weaned calves. Consequently, this study has demonstrated that feeding behaviour recorded by electronic feeders, in particular, unrewarded visits to the MR feeder, can be examined in order to help producers identify calves with BRD. However, feeding behaviour data was variable as unrewarded visits to the MR feeder were significantly reduced for calves with BRD during the 3 d prior to the BRD event being identified and tended to be reduced for calves with BRD during the 7 d following the identification of BRD, but they were only numerically reduced for calves with BRD on the day of identification of BRD. Therefore, in addition to the monitoring of feeding behaviour data, observation of the clinical health of pre-weaned calves is essential for identification of calves with BRD.

Conclusions

This study characterised the effects of clinical health on feeding behaviour, recorded by electronic feeders, of Irish artificially reared, pre-weaned H–F and J dairy calves. A high number of incidents of BRD was observed in these calves. This may be due to the increased detection of BRD cases through the use of an on-farm respiratory disease screening tool. Feeding behaviour can be used for identifying calves with BRD as calves with BRD had decreased unrewarded visits during the 3 d prior to a BRD event being recorded and tended to have reduced unrewarded visits during the 7 d after the BRD event was identified. Furthermore, there was a tendency for decreased net energy intake for calves with BRD on the 3 d prior to the BRD being identified and a tendency for decreased concentrate consumption for calves with BRD on the day BRD was identified.
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